## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 1:05-cr-174	
v.	) Honorable Gordon J. (	Quist
RASHI WILLIAMS,  Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on September 21, 2005, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Rashi Williams entered a plea of guilty to the Two Count Indictment, in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. Count 1 of the Indictment charges defendant with being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1); 18 U.S.C.§ 921(a); and 18 U.S.C.§ 924(a)(2). Count 2 of the Indictment charges defendant with manufacturing cocaine base (crack cocaine), a controlled substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C.§ 841(a)(1); and 21 U.S.C.§841(b)(1)(C). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agree-

Case 1:05-cr-00174-PLM ECF No. 20 filed 09/21/05 PageID.42 Page 2 of 2

ment; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that

the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the Two Count Indictment

be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that defendant

remain detained pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of

the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and imposition of

sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: September 21, 2005

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge.

Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. See W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -